

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONERO & FATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
WASHINGTON 107 20231
www.ospto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09 852,688	(05 11 2001	Yutaka Mizutani	2001-0586A	4847
513	7590	02 06 2002			
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800				EXAMINER	
				CAIN, EDWARD J	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021		20006-1021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1714	<u>U</u>
				DATE MAILED: 02.06 2002	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

044	Application No. Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Applicant(s) Examples Applicant(s) MIZ-Ston Applicant(s)
y	
-The MAILING DATE of this same	Edward Cai Group Art Unit
Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-
A CHARTENIA	the correspondence address-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO	O EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DA
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, effective to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by statute.	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONT
Status	o, value the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL .	
accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (Disposition of Claims	or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Claim(s) /- / 3	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s).	is/are withdrawn from consideration
VClaim(s) 1-7, 6, 8, 10 and 13	is/are allowed.
Claim(s) 1-4, 6, 8, 10 and 13 Claim(s) 5, 7, 9 and 11-12 Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Application Papers	are subject to restriction or election
See the attached Notice of Death	
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	view, PTO-948.
The drawing(s) filed on	is approved disapproved.
The specification is objected to by the F	by the Examiner.
The oath or declaration is objected to by the	
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the prioreceived.	onty documents have been
interior stage application from the leteral to	ool D
	al Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Information Disclosure Statement/s\ DTO 1110 =	
modification in the contract of the contra	Intervious Communication
Notice of Reference(s) Cited PTO-802	THE VIEW SUMMAN PTO 412
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 Other

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diefenback et al.

Diefenback et al disclose water based coating composition comprising hydrophilic crosslinkable polymer and polyamides (claim 1).

The composition are further taught as comprising silicate and pigment including iron oxide (column 18, line 12 and column 19, line 25).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 depends on claim 1 and recites "the acid group containing resin". This recitation lack antecedent basis.

Application 'Control Number: 09852688

Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

Claims 5, 9 and 11-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Edward Cain at telephone number (703) 308-0042.

ECain:evh

02/02/02